

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Pagent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bax 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/710,964	10/710,964 08/15/2004		Yongyong Xu	4963	
44642	7590	08/24/2006		EXAMINER	
YONGYO			MORRISON, JAY A		
630 SANTA CRUZ TERRACE SUNNYVALE, CA 94085				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			2168	
				DATE MAILED: 08/24/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/710,964	XU, YONGYONG					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Jay A. Morrison	2168					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app							
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period way reply extension to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Au	uaust 2004.						
	action is non-final.						
· -							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-72</u> is/are pending in the application.		·					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) 1-72 are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∋ 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
 application from the International Bureau 	, ,,,						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)	Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/710,964 Page 2

Art Unit: 2168

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-30,60-72 are drawn to subject matter for participating in virtual communities and user databases, classified in class 707, subclass 2.
- II. Claims 31-36 are drawn to subject matter for online connection using proxies, classified in class 707, subclass 10.
- III. Claims 37-39 are drawn to subject matter for installing toolbars or menus for resource access, classified in class 707, subclass 104.1.
- IV. Claims 40-43 are drawn to subject matter for monitoring web requests and managing connections, classified in class 707, subclass 9.
- V. Claims 44-59 are drawn to subject matter for browser plugin objects which provide shared access, classified in class 707, subclass 5.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I,II,III, IV and V are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. The subcombinations have separate utility such as participating in online communities, proxy connections, toolbars and menus, monitoring and managing connection, and browser plugins. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for any one group is not required for any other group, respectively, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record, listed on form PTO-892, and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jay A. Morrison whose telephone number is (571) 272-7112. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tim Vo can be reached on (571) 272-3642. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TIM VO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Jay Morrison TC2100 Tim Vo TC2100